Previous references.

1902 - 3

(Separate Revenue)

File No. 169 of 02

Serial Nos. 1 - 4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Major **~~Part~~ B. for** November 1903

SUBJECT.

Inam Toddy Claim of Vengalammanahalli, Jodi village Maddagiri Taluk

Sl 1 To The Excise Comr in Mysore

No 4637/276 30 January 1903

Submits a report enquiry relating to the toddy Revenue of Vengalammanahalli Jodi village Maddakere Taluk together with an extract from the Inam Register

Sl 2 To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

No 53 Dated 25 July 1903

Requests to forward to Govt a copy of the Inam Register of enquiry of Vengalammana halli village

Sl 3 From the Superintendent of Inam Settlements No 25 G. R. S. 58 Dated 10-8-03

Forwards as requested a copy of the Inam Register of the above village

Continued

SC 4

To The Excise Comm'r & Inspr Gen
The Comptroller & Govt

G.O. No 378-9 13-11-03

Holds that the Inam of Vengalammanahalli
Jodi Village Maddagiri Taluk is not en
titled to the Toddy Excise Rent of the Village

SR 1697/02 S.R. 1698/99

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Excise

Subject Excise Codes Claim of Vecs & Commissioners Proposals Madduscript Received.

Reference is solicited to Rule 20 of the Excise Rules of Assessment which provides "Nec Sayer collections are reserved for the State but in Col 17, "Toddy" is included as one of the items of revenue granted to the incumbents. Nicely two Paramanagers Salaried Officers Assistants to collect "Toddy" to the incumbents but the Excise Codes states this

[illegible] Police Clerical
Cadre on pro-rata basis
only "Technical"
The increase in strength
envisaged in proposal for
12th Police Session to 1892-93
as well as the increase of
the Police Force in connection
with the new [illegible] for 1893
Session to 1902 inc. absorbed 1890 Pt.

The Committee therefore
recommend that the
Police Clerical Cadre
be established

Police
24/10/03

Home
24/10/03

To the Lieut.,
through the 2nd. Councillor.

As the Police Clerical Cadre has not been established -

30/10/03

4

1/(E)

13749
2.11.03.

2-1

SR 167 of 1903 & 275

8866
14 FEB 1903
1903

FROM

The Forest Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore General and Revenue
Depts.

Dated 30th } January 1903
Despatched 13 } 2-1903
Received

General No. 4637
No. 270

Enclosure No. and
a file

Subject

Submits the record of enquiry relating to the Zoddy Revenue of Vengalammanhalli Jodi Village, Maddagiri Taluk, together with an extract from the Inam Quit-Rent Register.

Filed
Certified
Copy
Taken
21/7/03

Sl. No 1

Excise Commissioners Office

Bangalore dated 30th January 1903.

No. 4637 / 270 Case 1 and 2 file 13/2

From.

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore,

To.

The Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Vengalammankalli Jodi village, Maddigiri Taluk, together with an extract from the Inam Quit-Rent Register, I have the honor to state that the present holder of the village has not proved his right to Excise Revenue from Toddy. In the Inam Settlement Register it is recorded that "Sayer and Abkari Revenues are reserved for the State, and Inamdars right to Forests is subject to the reservation contained in the Chief Commissioner's Forest Notification of June 1869". Thus it will

be

be seen that the Inam Superintendent has not recognised the Jodidar's right, as stated by Mr. Paramasiva Iyer. His remark is apparently based upon the word "Toddy" appearing in column 17 of the extract of Final Quit-Rent Register. But I am of opinion that the entry in the Quit-Rent-Register is either a clerical error, or the word stands only for the rent of the trees leased to Government Contractor. This view is further supported by the Inam Superintendent's own definition of the word "Toddy" as given in the Quit-Rent-Register of Jodi Iggali village, Nanjangud Taluk, the record of which has this day been submitted to Government. Therefore the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

For Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Inams of decision passed
in re the claim of the Jodidars
of the below noted Village to the
Jodidy Revenue Thereof.

Vengalamanaikkalli Jodi

The title deed does not mention
Excise Revenue. The Inam Superintendent
has however recognised the Jodidari
right. There is however no shop
in the Village and the Inamdar's
statement that there was one
about 25 years ago is not substantiated by records. The Jodidar
is in doubt whether to advantage
as he is only a purchaser of
a Revenue sale and came into
possession of the Entire Village
only 13 years ago and records
if any are with the old Jodidars
who have left the Village. The
old Kaifiyat is not available,
but the Inam Commissioner's

have based his recognition on
the Berigkatte. There were no
Arecs in this Village till a few
years ago and under the
circumstances I submit the
case for the Orders of Government
under Rule 19 of the Rules

Signed
8 3 1902 } J. Pannam Surveyor
General Assistant

Submitted to Excise Commissioner

Signed B.S. Krishnappa
19 3 - 1902 } Excise Commissioner

Copy

Excise Commissioner
by

5

A Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by The General Assistant Commissioner Tanjore District.

Name of Taluq	Name of Hobli or Sammat	Name of Sarvamanya Jodi or Kayamsatta village	Name of Inamdar or Jodidar or Kayamsatta dar	Documents relied upon	Witnesses Examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
Pattukkottai	Peruman	Vengalamanna halli Jodi	Siva Rama	Nil	nil	The Jodidar's claim to Toddy Revenue is submitted to Government under Rule 19 of the Rules.		The Inam Superintendent has recognized the Jodidar's claim to Toddy Revenue, but the Jodidar has not proved his enjoyment of Toddy Revenue by documentary Evidence

Camp Pattukkottai
8 March 1902

S/d T. Viranna Sivan Esqr
Special Assistant Commr

Yours sincerely,
G. Sivaramaiah

Before me

Notary Public

Date of Expiry: 25

Seal

Name: Mahendra

Address: Near Old Market

Witness: Shankar Murthy

Sign: _____

Name: Lakshmi Devi

Extract of the Final Quit Rent Register of whole Village and

Hobli & Village	Title Deed No.	Date on which Title Deed has been issued	Name of Inamdar	Description of Inam	Condition of grant	Description of land	Old extent	Former assessment
							K-k-P	Rs-as-P
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Kengeri Venkatasamudrakalle Jodi	20/284	1-7-72	Maddagiri — Giriyamma	Personal	For personal benifit	Dry	40-6-4	225-0-0
						Wet	28-5-3	
						Poramboke	1-8-1	
							69-19-8	
	21/285	1-7-72	Maddagiri — 1. Srinivasasastry 2. Narasingarao 3. Doddathimmappa 4. Srinivasarao	— do —	— do —	Dry	40-6-4	225-0-0
						Wet	28-5-3	
						Poramboke	1-8-1	
							69-19-8	
							139-19-0	450-0-0

Dated Junkur
30th December 1901
5th January

"Extract

Venkatal

For Excise Comm

MK

nenor Inams in the District of Tumkur.

Inam confirmed as per Survey

Description of land	11 Survey area		12 Survey assessment			13 Former Jodi.			14 Quit Rent now imposed			15 Total			16 Enfranchised or not	17 Items of revenue granted to the Inamdars.
10	A	G	Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P		
Unculturable	137	6	2	4	"											1. Land Revenue.
Dry	1082	28	268	4	4	87	4	5	68	13	10	156	"	"	Enfranchised	2. Inohalarfa
Wet	9	11	47	3	9											3. Soddy. ✓
Garden	67	19	353	14	6											
	1296	24	671	10	7											

The Survey & assessment of this Case has been included in Case No. 20/284.

	1296	24	671	10	7	174	8	9	86	1	3	260				

(Signed) M. S. Narayan Rao

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

1-

Engagement-

missioner

in Mysore.

Mysore Secretariat
Coorg & Tech. Records

Sl. No 3

8

Office Draft. (Docket.)

~~Mysore Dewan's Office~~,
Coorg Branch.

SR 1691/02

To The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Bangalore

Date of passing the draft 25/7/03.
Date of despatch 28/7/03.

Regd. No. 53
File No. & date (if any) 247/1/07.

No. of Encls.

Has the honor to request that a copy of the decree per favour of the Vakeel of the Vakeel forwarded to Coorg Chief Court

Bangalore
for Secy

4
30/7/03

Secy
28/7/03

X. No 3 Ex 812 dy 11/8/03 9

8 R 167 902

1903

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 7th
Despatched 10th } August 1903
Received

No. 25 of 1903-04

Enclosure No. one

As requested in his docket No. 53 Ex F A of the 28th July 1903, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the inam register of Vengalamanah josi village, Haddagiri Taluk.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Encl
10 S 01

Sold with note
dy
24.10.03

MGCPB—28-12-02—200

(Register sheet No 61)

Taluk — Maddagiri
Hobli — Thimmasandra
Village — Vengalamanahalli
Jodi

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Vengalumanahally **in the** (Godi)

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
	personal.	pymaishy account 1807. Area of the Village. 874.97. Deduct Village site & halayak etc ____	338.12	
		Remainder-		536.85
		particulars. Dry.- 469.76 wet- 66.52 Garden .57		536.85
		Surveyor's pymaishy account of 1870. Area of the Village. 1220.00 particulars. Cultivated Dry 163.33 wet 116.78 Cultivable Dry 902.80 Halayak holl & Village site &c. 37.09		Total 1220.00

Title Deed No. 284-285

Revised Final Title Deed No. 43

Final Title Deed delivered to Vengalumanahally Village Accountant for the 3rd Fasly 1874 & Cash for Rs 1838.15 paid into Cuddapah Treasury on the 15th June 1874

Amildar's C/ No. 1 dated 8-7-74

Read Amil's Cattah No. 30 of 5th April 1872 & specified assistants remarks thereon

The land is irrigated by the Kapila wells and Jodidars Cattah

Read order of the Rent on the Valuation was put on the Amildar as an ad interim Rent and refer such a case to for Survey and Valuation for Survey and Valuation 2nd Rent - Rs. 17-3-10 | Rs 106 to which the Petitioner gives consent agrees before me. | Signed | Robt Hole 17-5-72 Superd.

Sammat of Thericoor **in the Taluk of** Inaddagiri

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND			
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
		For personal benefit	Rs. as-p. 174-8-9

Chief Commrs Proceedings No 541/20 d/13th April 1874 - authorizes the Cancellment of Captain Cole's Patta on this Village and substitutes Col Clerk's Settlement - old Title Deed Cancelled, See Regr. No 138, and Fresh Title Deed issued according to Col Clerk's Settlement Hereday - Signed / J. Purchle Offg. Asst Collr Inaddagiri 20/5/74

Vide also Chief Commrs No 1133/96 d/9th Septr 174 recd with Madras Comrs No 1470 d/23d Septr 174 & do No 1850 d/20-5-74 Vide amld to return the old Title Deed -

Title Deed returned on the 18/1/1875 with Report No 63 Inaddagiri

Beri, Rs 290-14-6
Add for future improvement 159-1-6
Total Rs 450-0-0
Adopted

Cancelled Veeramma also Consents to the Survey
Initialed / Robt Cole

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	Tippu Sultan's sanad of 1784 directing the Amildar to restore the Village to Bhochuah, and continued it to him as Inamdar Narasinga Rao, Poornialis sunnad dated 1806, directing the Amildar to continue the Village to Narasiah and Venkatram Amiah on Jodi vide Pagodas 60 — —

Annual Collection

	Land Rev:	Toddy	Mohatarfa	
1859.	224-8- —	3-8- —	10- —	
" 60	265-8- —	3-8- —	11- —	
" 61	274-8- —	3-8- —	12- —	
" 62	266-8- —	3-8- —	12- —	
" 63	265-8- —	3-8- —	13- —	
" 64	286-8- —	3-8- —	13- —	
" 65	261-8- —	3-8- —	14- —	
" 66	250-8- —	3-8- —	15- —	
" 67	261-8- —	3-8- —	16- —	
" 68	269- — —	— —	17- —	
	2605-8- —	31-8- —	133- —	2771 — — Average 277-2

B

of Jaunkur

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
		Jodi account Do date Vencata Bhatta & others Beinz Pagodas 100 — Jodi 60 — Jani 40 — Jodi account 1821 Varasa & Vencatramaniah Beinz — 100 — Jodi — 60 — Jani — 40 — Jodi account — 1829 Do — Do

(Boundaries)

East – Her majesty's Sungeer arayanahally boundary & stone mark

South – Chinnana village boundary marks and Subbrayana goodi

West – Thenioor Village boundary marks

North – Madibi & Kasinaikkenhally boundaries.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
1. Muddagiri 1 Giriyammah 35	widowed daughter in law of Narasiah mentioned in the Taki'd quoted in Col: 11.	"	Party 1 in Col 15 enjoys ½ of the Village
2. Srinivasa Sastry 48	absence	1 Son	Party 2 enjoys ¼ of the Village, He purchased it & some other lands for Rs. 1060 from Rooviah Sastry & Sattia Rama Sastry — descendants of the 1st purchaser, from the original holder Venkata-ramaniah. The registered document is of 28th Dec: 1866 — making over the ¼ share in the Village to Srinivasa Sastry is produced, and the sale is admitted by the aliences.
3. Narasimha Row 45	Cousin of absence	3 Sons	
4. Pedda Timmappiah 40	— do —	"	
5. Krishnama Row 35	— do —	"	
6 No excluded Inam Subsequent alienation Srinivasa Sastry owns 4 Cowdoors — 8 —			
Venkatrama Bhatt owns 4 Cowdoors 12.			
1 — — —			

The deceased Cousin of the parties 3 in Col 15 by name Timmappiah purchased ¼ of the Village from Venkatramaniah the original holder, and has mortgaged it to Ramiah Row for Pagodas 100, Vide page 124 of the Roobkar Adalut decree No 5 of 1849, & the stamped document of 9th Dec: 1852.

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.~~
19	~~20~~

There is a case in which a good deal of my time was wasted in sifting the matters connected with the tenure of the village, which was of a double Hue nature - During my enquiry into the claim of the party in Col: 15, I was told by her opponents that her share in the Inam was declared by the late Chief Commr to be escheated to Govern ment on her death - In the first instance the parties refused to produce any documents, nor could I get any information on the subject from the Talukpe cords - To arrive at a Conclusion, which the merits of the case deserve, was in consequence found a matter of great difficulty - I postponed the settlement of the village for some weeks pending the production of authenticated Copies of the orders of the late Chief Commissioner.

Now Giriyammah produced the decree of the Huzur Adalut Court, No 5 of 1849, and that of the late Chief Commr No 5 of 31st March 1854 - The Village seems to have been granted by Devan Poornaiah to Narasiah (father in Law of the party in Col 15), and to Venkatramanaiah on a podi Pattah dated 10 - The grantees equally divided the Village between them, and each was enjoying his share separately - When Narasiah died, his widow entrusted the management of his share to Venkataramanaiah, who had at that time no connection with the Village - In consequence of his having sold his share to those, from whom the incumbents 2 & 3 obtained it - a dispute having arisen between the widow & the trustee, the former filed a Petition, which terminated in an Award given by the Headquarters Amildar in 1843 to the effect that the share of

Narasiah

(Col: 19 Contd)

and the course directed to be pursued in disposing of Andhra Inamgum tenure, I think it would be based on my part, if I overlooked the provisions of the Mysore Land Inam rules, Section XVIII Clause A which is justly applicable to the case under review. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the village Inam be confirmed to the parties in Col: 15 on a quit rent Viz:- Rs 209 during the life of the party 1 Rupees 226 during the 2nd life and Rupees 260 during the 3rd life as particularized below.

	Quit rent payable during the			
	1st Life @ 1/8 R.	2d Life @ 1/4 R.	3d Life @ 1/2 R	Total Rs
Party 1 in Col: 15 —	105	122	156	156
Party 2 in Do —	52	52	52	52
Parties 3 in Do —	52	52	52	52
Total	209	226	260	260

(Signed) C Poole Ross
Assistant

3-5-70

Compulsory

There was a boundary dispute between the village & Kasamanken Halli, and it seems to have been settled by the Taluk Amildar vide the endorsement of the Maddagere Amildar of 25 January 1862 restoring the land in dispute with its produce to the Jodidars in accordance with the decision of 1846.

(Signed) C Poole Ross
Asstt

3-5-70

(Col: 20 Contd)

From the remarks in the preceding Column it appears that this village was restored from sequestration to one Narasiah and Venkatrama made on joint tenure

The moiety of the latter seems to have passed finally to the incumbent Nos 2 and 3 by sale

The remaining one half of the village registered in the name of Narasiah mentioned above passed to his Eldest Widowed Daughter-in-Law No 1 in Col 15 after long and hard Contention between her and the above mentioned Venkatramanaiah, who is said to have managed her affairs for a time

The decree of the Huzur Sudder Adalat issued in Suit No 5 of 1849, and of the late Commissioner dated 31st March 1834 ruled that ½ of the village derived from Narasiah should be enjoyed by his widow and widowed daughter-in-law No 1 in Col 15. In pursuance of these orders the share in the enjoyment of No 1 lapse to the State on her death

But as all life grants Can be Commuted and enfranchised on ½ Suit Rent, the party No 1 in Col: 15 may hold her half share of the village under a Separate Title Deed on a Combined Suit Rent of Rs: 15 6 -

The reversionary right of the Government having been Compromised, the foregoing Settlement. No 1 in Col: 15 may be allowed to dispose of her property consistent with Hindu Law.

The shares of Nos 2 to 5 may be Confirmed in one Deed subject to the payment of a Combined Suit Rent of Rs 10 4 -

The question of boundary dispute adverted to on

this

this register and ~~have~~ now fully explained in Mr Reeb Rows letter No 38 of the 6 August last will not now be agitated as it appears to have been already settled by the Amildar in 1862 and the disputed land put in possession of the Inamdar, has since been enjoyed with out any molestation the same and also remains reserved for the State and the Inamdars right to forests is subject to the reservation contained in the Chief Commissioner's Forest Notification of June 1869

(Signed) B. Gopaulrao
Special Asst to Cc

17/11/70

Confirmed in two deeds
To No 1 on a quit rent of Rs 156
To No 2 & 3 on a quit rent of Rs 104

(Signed) J. Clerk
Inam Comm

Dec 14-70

By this Settlement the Inam of No 1 does not lapse to the State, on the death, but is alienable and heritable

(Initialed) J. Clerk
Inam Comm

Continuation of Col: 20.

1. Revised as per the Dewani's Proceedings No 4701-9/133 of 2nd September 1881.

2 Vide the Statement received with Survey Supdt's Docket No 489/28 of 17. October 183

Description

(Col 20 Contd)

Description	Cultivated		Cultivable waste		Unarable waste		Total	
	Extent	Assst	Extent	¼ Assst	Extent	Assst	Extent	Assst
	A. G		A. G		A. G			
Uncultivable	~	~	~	~	137.6	2-4-~	137.6	2-4-~
Dry	168.23	106-~-5	914.5	162.3-11	~	~	1082.28	268-4-4
Wet	9.11	47.3-9	~	~	~	~	9.11	47-3-9
Garden	67.14	353-14-6	~	~	~	~	67.14	353-14-6
Total	245.13	507-2-8	914.5	162.3-11	137.6	2-4-~	1296.24	671-10-7

2. As the Title Deeds have been issued prior to 12th October 1872, the Quit Rent cannot be attached as per Rules

(Signed) D Grant
Supt of Inam Settlt

9-6-87

3. This Village is not subject to revision under the Government Proceedings Dt 14223-5 / R7.100-93 of 17th February 1894 vide Survey & Inam Office Reference Book of 23-3-97.

(Signed) D Grant
Supt of Inam Settlt

Examd
B.

Inam Settlt Office
Bangalore the 7th August 1903

Copy
D Grant
Supt of Inam Settlt

Sl no. 4

21

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read: Correspondence regarding the grant of 250 Nos "Toddy trees from the Selection of Toddy Settlement in respect of Chamarajanagar Taluk to the Toddy growers of Vengalammanakulli Periyapatna Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya District

Order: No 378-9 Ex 7 11-01 dt 13-11-01

Whereas the Toddy growers of Vengalammanakulli Periyapatna Taluk, Mandya District have represented that the Toddy trees granted to them in the Toddy Settlement for the year 2001-02 are not sufficient for tapping and that they are facing difficulties in getting Toddy

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 13th November 1903.

READ —

Correspondence ending with letter No. 25, dated 7th—10th August 1903, from the Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore, regarding the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Vengalammanahalli Jodi village, Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District.

No. 378-9—Ex. F. 11-01, DATED BANGALORE, 13TH NOVEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The village has a few date trees but no toddy shop. The Inamdar is not entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy either by the terms of the Inam settlement or by long enjoyment.

2. A refund bill should be submitted to Government for any sum that may be due to the Inamdar for past years.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd.—C.V.

23

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 13th November 1903

No. 378-9 / Ex F. 11-01

Holding that the Inamdar of Vengalammanahalli Jodi village, Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District, is not entitled to the toddy Excise revenue of the village.

SBP—24-11-03—50